

TOP MANAGEMENT SERVICES VENDORS

Managed Medical Coding Inpatient and Ambulatory Solutions


Comparative Performance Result Set of
Clinical Coding Transformation Support Vendors

Small and Community Hospitals

Large Hospitals and Academic Medical Centers

Health Systems, Corporations and Clinical Networks

Physician Practices, Groups, Clinics and Organizations



Survey Period: Q3 2024 – Q1 2025



Black Book™ annually evaluates leading health care/medical software and managed service providers across 18 operational excellence key performance indicators completely from the perspective of the client experience. Independent and unbiased from vendor influence, more than 2,900,000 healthcare IT users are invited to contribute to various annual customer satisfaction polls. Suppliers also encourage their clients to participate in producing current and objective customer service data for buyers, analysts, investors, consultants, competitive suppliers, and the media. For more information or to order customized research results, please contact the **Client Resource Center** at +1 800 863 7590 or info@blackbookmarketresearch.com

FIERCELY INDEPENDENT

Black Book™, its founders, management and staff do not own or hold any financial interest in any of the vendors covered and encompassed in the surveys it conducts. Black Book reports the results of the collected satisfaction and client experience rankings in publication and to media prior to vendor notification of rating results and does not solicit vendor participation fees, review fees, inclusion, or briefing charges, and/or vendor.

© 2025 Black Book Market Research LLC, All Rights Reserved.

Reproduction of this publication in any form without prior written permission is forbidden. The information contained herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable. Black Book™, Black Book Market Research LLC, Black Book Research, and The Black Book of Outsourcing®, disclaims all warranties as to the accuracy, completeness, or adequacy of such information. Black Book shall have no liability for errors, omissions or inadequacies in the information contained herein or for interpretations thereof. The reader assumes sole responsibility for the selection of these materials to achieve its intended results. The opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. Black Book's unrivaled objectivity and credibility is perhaps your greatest assurance. At a time when alliances between major consultancies and suppliers have clouded the landscape, Black Book remains resolutely independent. We have no incentive to recommend specific software or outsourcing services vendors. Our only goal is to help you achieve the results you want with the best possible solution.

For more information, visit www.BlackBookMarketResearch.com



Table of Contents

2025 BLACK BOOK™ MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

INTRODUCTION	6-17
Key Findings	6
Survey Overview	17
BLACK BOOK METHODOLOGY	18-19
How the data sets are collected	18
Understanding the statistical confidence of Black Book data	18
Who participates in the Black Book ranking process?	19
Managed Medical Coding Management vendor rankings and results – 2025	19
COMPARATIVE VENDOR ANALYSIS	
TOP 20 MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS VENDORS	15
Top #1 Managed coding vendor overall, top vendors by four user subsets	
Top 20 Vendors, ranked list 1 through 20	
STOP LIGHT SCORING KEY	10
OVERALL KPI LEADERS	14
Summary of criteria outcomes	15
Top score per individual criteria	16
INDIVIDUAL KEY PERFORMANCE	17
APPENDIX	36
Disclaimer	36



Table of Figures

Figure 1 :	Comprehensive vendors defined	9
Figure 2 :	Key to raw scores	10
Figure 3 :	Color-coded stop light dashboard scoring key	11
Figure 4 :	Raw score compilation and scale of reference	12
Figure 5 :	Scoring key	16



Table of Tables

Table 1 :	Summary of criteria outcomes	15
Table 2 :	Top score per individual criteria	16
Table 3 :	Top Ranked Vendors – raw scores	17
<hr/>		
Tables of Individual Key Performance Indicator, Top Ten Highest Mean by KPI		18-35
Table 4 :	Vendor-Client Strategic Alignment	18
Table 5 :	Innovation	19
Table 6 :	Training	20
Table 7 :	Client relationships and cultural fit	21
Table 8 :	Trust, Accountability and Transparency	22
Table 9 :	Breadth of offerings, client types, delivery excellence	23
Table 10 :	Deployment and implementation	24
Table 11 :	Customization	25
Table 12 :	Integration and interfaces	26
Table 13 :	Scalability, client adaptability, flexible pricing	27
Table 14 :	Compensation and employee performance	28
Table 15 :	Reliability	29
Table 16 :	Brand image and marketing communications	30
Table 17 :	Marginal value adds	31
Table 18 :	Viability, Managerial Stability	32
Table 19 :	Data security and backup services	33
Table 20 :	Support and customer care	34
Table 21 :	Best of breed technology and process improvement	35




SURVEY PARTICIPATION: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING

This segment of the Black Book™ Medical Coding managed services survey for providers included insights from 1,674 users from 1,425 hospitals, physician groups, ambulatory centers, long term care facilities and healthcare delivery networks.

Respondent Title	Respondents
Medical Records Management, Supervisor, Coordinator	189
Medical Records Staff	403
Health Information Management Director, VP	76
Coding Management, Supervisor, Coordinator	132
Medical Coding or Medical Billing Specialist	731
Health Information Analyst	25
Hospital Vice President, Administrator, C-Level	106
Other	12
TOTAL	1,674

Source: Black Book™



2025 BLACK BOOK™ METHODOLOGY

How the data sets are collected

Black Book collects ballot results on 18 performance areas of operational excellence to rank vendors by coding services and product lines. The gathered data are subjected immediately to an internal and external audit to verify completeness and accuracy and to make sure the respondent is valid while ensuring that the anonymity of the client company is maintained. During the audit, each data set is reviewed by a Black Book executive and at least two other people. In this way, Black Book's clients can clearly see how a vendor is truly performing. The 18 criteria on operational excellence are subdivided by the client's industry, market size, geography, software and technology niche, and managed functions, and are reported accordingly.

Situational and market studies are conducted in areas of high interest such as e-Prescribing, Health information Exchange, Accountable Care organization, hospital software, services providers, educational providers in e-health, bench markers and advisors. These specific survey areas range from four to 20 questions of criteria each.

Understanding the statistical confidence of Black Book data

Statistical confidence for each performance rating is based upon the number of organizations scoring the electronic medical and health records service. Black Book identifies data confidence by one of several means:

- Top-10-ranked vendors must have a minimum of 10 unique clients represented. Broad categories require a minimum of 20 unique client ballots. Data that are asterisked (*) represent a sample size below required limits and are intended to be used for tracking purposes only, not ranking purposes. Performance data for an asterisked vendor's services can vary widely until a larger sample size is achieved. The margin of error can be very large, and the reader is responsible for considering the possible current and future variation (margin of error) in the Black Book performance score reported.
- Vendors with more than 20 unique client votes are eligible for top 10 rankings and are assured to have highest confidence and lowest variation. Confidence increases as more organizations report on their vendor. Data reported in this form are shown with a 95% confidence level (within a margin of 0.25, 0.20 or 0.15, respectively).
- Raw numbers include the quantity of completed surveys and the number of unique organizations contributing the data for the survey pool of interest.




Who participates in the Black Book Ranking process?

More than 2,900,000 hospital leaders and other users ranking from system executives, clinicians, IT specialists and front-line implementation veterans are invited to participate in the 2025 healthcare technology and services satisfaction surveys. Non-invitation receiving participants must complete a verifiable profile, utilize a valid corporate email address, and are then included as well. The Black Book survey web instrument is open to respondents and new participants periodically for several surveys at <http://blackbookrankings.com>, <http://blackbookmarketresearch.com> and <http://blackbookpolls.com> Only one ballot per corporate email address is permitted and changes of ballots during the open polling period require a formal email request process to ensure integrity. Follow up surveys were conducted from August 31 to March 9 to analyze the replacement market phenomenon within the financial solutions marketplace.



STOP LIGHT SCORING KEY


Figure 1: Comprehensive managed coding solutions vendors are defined as being comprised of four surveyed user groups





STOP LIGHT SCORING KEY

Figure 2: Key to raw scores



Source: Black Book Research



STOP LIGHT SCORING KEY

Figure 3: Color-coded stop light dashboard scoring key

Green

(top 10%) scores better than 90% of vendors. Green coded vendors have received consistently higher client satisfaction scores.

8.71 +

Clear

(top 33%) scores better than 67% of vendors. High-scoring vendors that have middle-of-the-pack results.

7.33 to 8.70

Yellow

Scores better than half of vendors. Cautionary performance scores, areas of improvement required.

5.80 to 7.32

Red


Scores worse than 66% of vendors. Poor performances reported potential cause for service and contractual cancellations.

Less than 5.79

Source: Black Book Market Research



STOP LIGHT SCORING KEY



Individual vendors can be examined by specific indicators on each of the main functions of Medical Coding Management as well as grouped and summarized subsets. Detail of each subset is contained so that each vendor may be analyzed by function and software services collectively.



OVERALL KPI LEADERS

Figure 5: Scoring key

OVERALL RANK	Q6 CRITERIA RANK	VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY & LARGE HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
	1	HOSPITAL CODING CORPORATION	9.02	7.56	5.59	5.59	7.00

Source: Black Book Market Research

- **Overall rank** – this rank references the final position of all 18 criteria averaged by the mean score collectively. This vendor ranked fifth of the 20 competitors.
- **Criteria rank** – refers to the number of questions or criteria surveyed. This is the sixth question of the 18 criteria of which this vendor ranked first of the 20 vendors analyzed positioned only on this criteria or question.
- **Company** – name of the Financial Management Technology Solutions vendor (sample name).
- **Subsections** – each subset comprises one-fourth of the total vendor mean at the end of this row and includes all buyers and users who indicate that they contract each respective service subsection with the supplier, specific to their enterprise.
- **Mean** – congruent with the criteria rank, the mean is a calculation of all four subsets of functions surveyed. As a final ranking reference, it includes all vertical industries, market sizes and geographies.



OVERALL KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR LEADERS

SUMMARY OF CRITERIA OUTCOMES

Table 1: Summary of criteria outcomes		
MANAGED CODING SOLUTIONS VENDORS		
Total number one criteria ratings	Vendor	Overall rank
7	IKS HEALTH	1
4	AGS HEALTH	2
5	CORROHEALTH	3
1	AVIACODE GEBBS	4
1	ELEVATE	12



TOP SCORE PER INDIVIDUAL CRITERIA: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS


Table 2: Top score per individual criteria

Questions	Criteria	Vendor	Overall Rank
1	Strategic alignment of client goals: ONC, MACRA, MIPS, VBC, growth initiatives	IKS HEALTH	1
2	Innovation	IKS HEALTH	1
3	Training	CORROHEALTH	3
4	Client relationships and cultural fit	AGS HEALTH	2
5	Trust, transparency, accountability, ethics	ELEVATE	12
6	Breadth of offerings, client types, delivery excellence	CORROHEALTH	1
7	Deployment and implementation	CORROHEALTH	3
8	Customization	AVIACODE GEBBS	4
9	Integration and interfaces	AGS HEALTH	2
10	Scalability, client adaptability, flexible pricing	IKS HEALTH	1
11	Compensation and employee performance	IKS HEALTH	1
12	Reliability	AGS HEALTH	2
13	Brand image and marketing communications	CORROHEALTH	3
14	Marginal value adds	IKS HEALTH	1
15	Viability, managerial stability	CORROHEALTH	3
16	Data security, privacy and backup services	AGS HEALTH	2
17	Support and customer care	IKS HEALTH	1
18	Best of breed technology and process improvement	IKS HEALTH	1



PART TWO: RANKED VENDOR PERFORMANCE

2025 INDIVIDUAL KEY PERFORMANCE: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS





Individual Key Performance

MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

Table 3 : Top Ranked Vendors – raw scores 2025

Rank	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	Q13	Q14	Q15	Q16	Q17	Q18	Mean
1	IKS HEALTH	9.51	9.71	9.05	9.49	8.15	9.14	9.21	9.39	9.48	9.61	9.60	9.33	8.64	9.55	9.34	9.35	9.64	9.81	9.33
2	AGS HEALTH	9.26	9.59	9.58	9.56	8.69	8.49	9.13	9.40	9.63	8.44	9.14	9.55	9.21	9.19	8.96	9.43	9.54	8.63	9.19
3	CORROHEALTH	8.78	8.62	9.73	8.41	9.09	9.23	9.22	8.65	9.15	8.65	8.67	8.68	9.63	8.60	9.37	8.83	9.01	8.88	8.96
4	AVIACODE GEBBS	8.86	8.66	8.66	8.55	6.28	9.16	8.84	9.45	9.13	8.51	8.45	8.86	5.80	9.09	8.11	8.81	8.25	9.19	8.48
5	OPTUM	8.60	7.61	8.91	8.65	9.15	8.67	8.58	8.33	8.91	7.01	8.74	6.18	9.23	8.28	8.50	8.04	9.12	9.72	8.46
6	DATAVANT	8.37	8.64	8.83	8.53	8.93	8.82	8.94	8.85	6.25	9.09	8.52	7.03	8.88	8.49	8.28	8.28	8.40	8.48	8.42
7	R1RCM	7.94	8.30	8.77	8.57	8.79	8.79	8.24	7.63	8.97	8.59	7.96	9.09	9.54	8.38	6.01	8.76	8.13	8.78	8.40
8	HIMAGINE	9.08	7.39	8.64	8.60	8.83	8.47	8.13	8.98	8.01	8.19	8.26	8.68	6.93	8.99	8.30	7.92	8.79	8.78	8.39
9	PLUTUS HEALTH	8.63	7.51	8.50	8.39	7.41	7.57	8.47	7.48	9.05	8.02	8.71	9.04	8.69	8.69	7.99	8.07	8.93	8.38	8.31
10	AMN HEALTHCARE	8.47	8.59	8.02	7.65	9.18	8.20	7.93	9.16	8.01	8.60	7.75	8.85	9.14	5.81	8.17	7.26	8.74	7.00	8.14
11	INFINX	7.88	7.75	9.07	7.05	7.11	9.25	8.24	8.33	7.49	7.93	8.01	8.07	8.61	7.54	8.29	7.98	8.20	8.82	8.09
12	ELEVATE	8.01	8.09	7.64	6.09	9.50	8.75	7.04	8.17	8.20	6.26	7.20	8.04	8.98	6.70	8.29	8.51	9.29	8.22	7.94
13	NTHRIVE	7.05	8.65	7.03	6.02	7.63	5.02	8.04	8.21	7.58	7.09	7.96	7.30	7.06	7.92	7.24	7.11	8.62	7.05	7.37
14	CONIFER	7.08	5.99	7.22	6.17	8.06	5.98	7.02	8.52	8.43	5.75	9.15	7.07	7.19	7.50	7.02	8.05	7.93	8.28	7.36
15	VERSCEND	6.68	7.11	7.00	7.00	7.20	6.97	6.25	7.69	7.87	7.99	8.24	8.05	7.02	6.50	7.05	7.78	7.76	8.08	7.35
16	LEXICODE	6.89	6.50	8.91	7.65	7.78	5.77	8.11	7.72	8.27	7.67	5.95	7.61	7.07	7.62	5.97	8.25	5.99	8.08	7.32
17	MRA	5.77	6.05	8.48	7.10	8.14	5.94	7.42	6.75	7.08	7.15	6.92	6.75	6.17	7.55	8.15	7.60	7.58	5.96	7.03
18	HAP	5.92	5.96	7.58	5.98	6.77	5.61	6.04	7.20	6.44	5.99	8.04	5.20	7.57	8.24	5.91	7.90	6.99	8.42	6.76
19	HSMN	6.54	5.99	7.56	7.34	4.98	5.55	7.77	6.05	6.07	6.39	7.15	5.87	8.45	6.74	4.85	8.18	8.38	5.89	6.65
20	OSI	4.85	5.55	6.29	5.92	5.05	7.93	6.25	5.30	5.58	6.00	6.38	5.65	5.72	6.52	6.57	8.00	5.85	5.90	6.07

Source: Black Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

1. Strategic alignment between vendor and provider client goals

Table 4: Organizational structure meets the needs of stakeholders or customers, and stakeholder satisfaction is the most important priority. Coding digital transformation solutions client is likely to recommend the vendor to similar sized provider organizations within the same geography, specialty, or delivery setting.

OVERALL RANK	Q1 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
1	1	IKS HEALTH	9.39	9.59	9.53	9.53	9.51
2	2	AGS HEALTH	9.72	9.30	9.28	8.74	9.26
8	3	HIMAGINE	9.53	9.00	9.55	8.24	9.08
4	4	AVIACODE GEBBS	9.02	8.84	8.32	9.25	8.86
9	5	PLUTUS HEALTH	8.84	9.27	8.19	8.60	8.73
3	6	CORROHEALTH	9.15	8.49	8.77	8.09	8.63
5	7	OPTUM	8.94	9.40	7.98	8.07	8.60
10	8	AMN HEALTHCARE	8.76	8.92	8.00	8.19	8.47
6	9	DATAVANT	8.41	9.20	8.10	7.82	8.37
7	10	R1RCM	8.41	8.38	7.90	7.05	7.94

Source: Black Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

2. Innovation

Table 5: Customers are also continuing to push the envelope for further enhancements to which the vendor is responsive. Financial solutions clients also believe that their vendors' technology is helping them manage business and care units more effectively, generate accurate records and reimbursement billings and cut their overhead in ways that were difficult or impossible to accomplish before innovative solutions were implemented. Vendors are responsive to make client recommendations with cutting edge improvements.

OVERALL RANK	Q2 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
1	1	IKS HEALTH	9.65	9.66	9.94	9.60	9.71
2	2	AGS HEALTH	9.60	9.56	9.59	9.60	9.59
4	3	AVIACODE GEBBS	8.19	8.77	8.68	8.99	8.66
13	4	NTHRIVE	9.06	8.05	8.62	8.68	8.65
5	5	DATAVANT	8.34	9.50	9.13	7.60	8.64
3	6	CORROHEALTH	8.01	8.83	9.59	8.04	8.62
10	7	AMN HEALTHCARE	8.59	8.80	9.10	7.85	8.59
7	8	R1RCM	8.39	8.22	8.49	8.09	8.30
12	9	ELEVATE	8.51	7.39	7.90	8.57	8.09
5	10	OPTUM	7.68	7.10	7.66	8.55	7.61

Source: Black Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

3. Training

Table 6: Coding managed services vendor leadership provides significant and meaningful training opportunities for internal employees and client staff. Leadership strives to develop technology staff, client service and customer servicing consultant employees. Training modules are effective and practical so that minimal post-implementation training is required on or off site. Regular updates are timely and require minimal additional training to implement.

OVERALL RANK	Q3 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
3	1	CORROHEALTH	9.79	9.54	9.60	9.60	9.73
2	2	AGS HEALTH	9.74	9.30	9.69	9.58	9.58
11	3	INFINX	9.12	9.25	8.73	9.17	9.07
1	4	IKS HEALTH	9.00	9.60	8.44	9.15	9.05
5	5	OPTUM	8.99	9.19	8.72	8.75	8.91
6	6	DATAVANT	9.28	9.34	8.21	8.50	8.83
7	7	R1RCM	8.85	9.04	8.39	8.80	8.77
4	8	AVIACODE GEBBS	9.20	8.75	8.02	8.65	8.66
8	9	HIMAGINE	8.79	8.72	7.79	9.24	8.64
3	10	CORROHEALTH	8.09	8.98	7.48	9.61	8.54

Source: Black* Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

4. Client Relationships and Cultural Fit

Table 7: Coding managed services vendor leadership honors customer relationships highly. The relationship with the vendor elevates the customer's reputation. Improving practice and healthcare delivery efficiency and effectiveness is a priority of the supplier. Governance of engagement is neither complex for buyers nor does it require vendor management attention regularly. There is no regular transparency or quality issue. There are no culture clashes or misfits that threaten relationship's success or client's satisfaction.

OVERALL RANK	Q4 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
2	1	AGS HEALTH	9.85	9.69	9.25	9.45	9.56
1	2	IKS HEALTH	9.28	9.83	9.42	9.44	9.49
5	3	OPTUM	8.29	8.12	9.03	9.15	8.65
8	4	HIMAGINE	8.44	8.17	8.90	8.88	8.60
7	5	R1RCM	8.99	9.12	7.76	8.42	8.57
4	6	AVIACODE GEBBS	8.39	8.38	8.56	8.87	8.55
6	7	DATAVANT	9.20	8.93	8.01	7.97	8.53
3	8	CORROHEALTH	8.64	8.75	7.84	8.42	8.41
9	9	PLUTUS HEALTH	8.88	9.51	7.64	7.51	8.39
10	10	AMN HEALTHCARE	9.16	9.19	7.70	7.00	8.26

Source: Black Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

5. Trust, Accountability, Ethics and Transparency

Table 8: Trust in enterprise reputation is important to coding solutions clients as well as prospects. Client possesses an understanding that its vendor organization has the people, processes, and resources to effectively deliver the desired business and clinical results, based on its industry reputation and past performance. There are no disconnects between promises and delivery.

OVERALL RANK	Q5 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
12	1	ELEVATE	9.81	9.31	9.43	9.65	9.50
10	2	AMN HEALTHCARE	9.10	9.60	8.88	9.12	9.18
5	3	OPTUM	9.13	9.68	8.78	9.00	9.15
3	4	CORROHEALTH	8.89	8.92	8.83	9.72	9.09
6	5	DATAVANT	9.26	9.33	8.91	8.22	8.93
8	6	HIMAGINE	8.66	9.10	8.56	8.99	8.83
7	7	R1RCM	9.22	8.92	8.49	8.52	8.79
2	8	AGS HEALTH	8.55	8.25	9.68	8.27	8.69
1	9	IKS HEALTH	8.81	8.64	7.40	7.73	8.15
17	10	MRA	7.96	8.61	7.90	8.08	8.14

Source: Black Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

6. Breadth of Offerings, Varied Client Settings, Delivery Excellence Across All User Types

Table 9: Coding digital transformation solutions vendor offers industry recognized horizontal functionality and vertical industry applications and manage bundled services and developing new healthcare technology initiatives. Vendors routinely drive operational performance improvements and results in the areas they affect. Comprehensive offerings are constructed to meet the unique needs of the client's IT initiatives. The breadth of vendor contract management modules offers comprehensive system services and broad modules.

OVERALL RANK	Q6 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
3	1	CORROHEALTH	9.28	9.36	9.02	9.26	9.23
4	2	AVIACODE GEBBS	8.98	9.61	8.81	9.24	9.16
1	3	IKS HEALTH	9.17	9.15	8.75	9.50	9.14
6	4	DATAVANT	9.07	9.24	8.14	8.81	8.82
7	5	R1RCM	8.89	9.07	8.60	8.61	8.79
12	6	ELEVATE	9.28	9.19	8.21	8.33	8.75
5	7	OPTUM	9.35	9.20	6.75	9.57	8.67
2	8	AGS HEALTH	8.89	8.36	9.53	7.18	8.49
8	9	HIMAGINE	8.61	8.38	7.90	8.99	8.47
10	10	AMN HEALTHCARE	8.63	8.76	7.12	8.30	8.20

Source: Black Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

7. Deployment and Coding Solution Implementation & System-Wide Standardization

Table 10: Coding solutions vendor deploys at a pace acceptable to the client. Coding solutions eliminate excessive supervision over vendor implementations. Vendor overcomes client implementation obstacles and challenges effectively. Technical, organizational, and cultural implementation obstacles are handled professionally and punctually. Software implementation time meets standard expectations. Implementations are efficient and sensitive to users' specific situations which may cause delays.

OVERALL RANK	Q7 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
3	1	CORROHEALTH	9.52	9.67	9.28	8.39	9.22
1	2	IKS HEALTH	9.32	9.37	8.77	9.37	9.21
2	3	AGS HEALTH	8.91	9.50	9.05	9.08	9.13
6	4	DATAVANT	9.47	9.12	8.22	8.94	8.94
4	5	AVIACODE GEBBS	9.14	9.36	8.46	8.41	8.84
5	6	OPTUM	8.93	9.09	8.28	8.02	8.58
9	7	PLUTUS HEALTH	8.59	8.32	8.07	8.89	8.47
11	8	INFINX	8.18	8.51	7.81	8.47	8.24
8	9	R1RCM	8.94	9.00	6.97	8.05	8.24
10	10	AMN HEALTHCARE	8.25	8.67	7.72	7.09	7.93

Source: Black Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

8. Customization

Table 11: Coding process services are customized to meet the unique needs of specific provider client purpose, processes, and care delivery models. Little resistance is encountered when changing performance measurements as clients’ needs vary. Extraordinary efforts are made to adapt and convert client special needs into workable solutions with efficient cost and time considerations. Managed coding allows for modifications that are not costly or complex.

OVERALL RANK	Q8 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
4	1	AVIACODE GEBBS	9.28	9.46	9.49	9.55	9.45
2	2	AGS HEALTH	9.80	9.79	9.85	8.17	9.40
1	3	IKS HEALTH	9.68	9.38	8.92	9.58	9.39
10	4	AMN HEALTHCARE	9.19	9.42	9.01	9.03	9.16
8	5	HIMAGINE	9.22	9.30	8.38	9.01	8.98
6	6	DATAVANT	9.49	9.18	8.19	8.55	8.85
3	7	CORROHEALTH	9.05	8.86	6.90	9.77	8.65
15	8	CONIFER	8.62	8.79	8.01	8.64	8.52
11	9	INFINX	9.13	8.88	7.27	8.02	8.33
14	10	NTHRIVE	8.20	9.02	7.53	8.09	8.21

Source: Black Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

9. Integration and Interfaces

Table 12: Coding solutions vendor supports interfaces so information can be shared between necessary applications. Solutions are easily integrated to existing backend systems as needed and HIE feasible. Seamless interfaces to legacy applications are performed as required for optimal functioning. Human integration and interface activities are administered precisely. Systems communicate effectively among provider groups and ancillaries. True interoperability with other healthcare organizations is factored into implementation.

OVERALL RANK	Q9 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
2	1	AGS HEALTH	9.49	9.72	9.65	9.67	9.63
1	2	IKS HEALTH	9.33	9.88	9.05	9.64	9.48
3	3	CORROHEALTH	9.04	9.05	8.63	9.86	9.15
4	4	AVIACODE GEBBS	9.29	9.25	8.92	9.05	9.13
9	5	PLUTUS HEALTH	9.05	9.16	8.93	9.06	9.05
7	6	R1RCM	9.54	9.38	8.12	8.83	8.97
5	7	OPTUM	9.01	9.49	8.24	8.89	8.91
15	8	CONIFER	9.19	8.53	7.85	8.16	8.43
17	9	LEXICODE	8.79	8.35	8.10	7.83	8.27
12	10	ELEVATE	8.16	8.65	7.58	8.40	8.20

Source: Black Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

10. Scalability, Client Adaptability, Flexible Pricing

Table 13: Coding solutions vendor provides flexible pricing allowing the client to choose and pay for the precise functionality and services needed. Vendor invests in significant infrastructure and can provide services to enterprise organizations. IT products and services meet the changing and varied needs of the respective customer. Pricing is not rigid or shifting and meets needs of client.

OVERALL RANK	Q10 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
1	1	IKS HEALTH	9.71	9.64	9.43	9.66	9.61
6	2	DATAVANT	9.21	9.16	8.79	9.19	9.09
3	3	CORROHEALTH	8.38	8.70	8.33	9.17	8.65
10	4	AMN HEALTHCARE	9.05	8.53	7.81	8.80	8.60
8	5	R1RCM	8.73	9.28	8.04	8.32	8.59
4	6	AVIACODE GEBBS	8.70	8.66	8.19	8.48	8.51
2	7	AGS HEALTH	8.36	7.26	9.54	8.58	8.44
9	8	PLUTUS HEALTH	8.31	8.87	7.33	7.94	8.11
8	9	HIMAGINE	8.73	8.32	7.56	7.83	8.11
11	10	INFINX	8.68	8.62	7.64	7.41	8.09

Source: Black Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

11. Vendor Staff Expertise, Compensation, and Employee Performance

Table 14: Coding solutions vendor team of employees is considered top in industry for professionalism and skill. Vendors attract and retains high performing staff. Vendors are focused on building and developing a strong employee team of producers. Employees act like owners/leaders. The company is moving towards leveraged pay at all levels. Vendors are using effective tools to tie performance metrics to compensation policy and compensating top leaders. Human resources-related criteria are scored from the client perspective on this indicator.

OVERALL RANK	Q11 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
1	1	IKS HEALTH	9.60	9.60	9.42	9.69	9.60
15	2	CONIFER	9.43	9.19	8.89	9.07	9.15
2	3	AGS HEALTH	9.30	9.60	9.67	7.99	9.14
5	4	OPTUM	9.02	9.22	8.55	8.16	8.74
9	5	PLUTUS HEALTH	8.91	8.62	8.42	8.89	8.71
3	6	CORROHEALTH	9.03	8.49	7.45	9.69	8.67
6	7	DATAVANT	9.28	9.19	7.78	7.81	8.52
4	8	AVIACODE GEBBS	8.67	9.03	7.36	8.72	8.45
8	9	HIMAGINE	8.78	8.52	7.86	7.88	8.26
16	10	VERSCEND	8.60	8.56	8.07	7.72	8.24

Source: Black Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

12. Reliability

Table 15: Coding solutions supplier meets agreed terms as evidenced by routine, acceptable service level reporting and industry expectations. Depth and breadth of applications/solutions are acceptable in meeting client needs. Online reliability meets expectations, and outages/downtimes are minimized. Solid product and service capacities are demonstrated consistently. Service levels are consistently met as agreed. Services and support response is expedient and provided with appropriate resources by vendor team.

OVERALL RANK	Q12 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
2	1	AGS HEALTH	9.75	9.89	9.44	9.10	9.55
1	2	IKS HEALTH	9.45	9.31	8.94	9.60	9.33
7	3	R1RCM	9.30	8.89	8.87	9.30	9.09
9	4	PLUTUS HEALTH	9.60	8.85	8.63	9.06	9.04
4	5	AVIACODE GEBBS	8.57	9.37	8.28	9.23	8.86
10	6	AMN HEALTHCARE	9.09	9.02	8.21	9.09	8.85
3	7	CORROHEALTH	9.24	8.87	6.89	9.71	8.68
8	8	HIMAGINE	8.62	8.63	8.24	9.23	8.68
5	9	OPTUM	9.26	8.99	7.66	8.00	8.48
6	10	DATAVANT	8.14	8.91	7.94	8.05	8.26

Source: Black Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

13. Brand Image and Marketing Leadership and Communications

Table 16: Coding solutions vendor's marketing and sales statements/pitches are accurately and appropriately represented by actual product and service deliverables. The image is consistent with top software and services rankings. Sales presentations and proposals are delivered upon and corporate integrity/honesty in marketing and business development are highly valued. Company image and integrity are values upheld top-down consistently. An elevated level of relevant client communications enhances the vendor – customer/user relationship.

OVERALL RANK	Q13 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
3	1	CORROHEALTH	9.66	9.81	9.13	9.91	9.63
7	2	R1RCM	8.79	9.73	9.94	9.70	9.54
5	3	OPTUM	9.19	9.49	9.00	9.24	9.23
2	4	AGS HEALTH	9.52	9.14	9.12	9.06	9.21
10	5	AMN HEALTHCARE	8.93	9.48	9.13	9.01	9.14
12	6	ELEVATE	9.12	9.17	8.46	9.16	8.98
6	7	DATAVANT	9.17	9.03	8.09	9.22	8.88
9	8	PLUTUS HEALTH	8.36	8.58	8.73	8.98	8.69
1	9	IKS HEALTH	9.32	8.63	7.72	8.90	8.64
11	10	INFINX	9.08	8.95	7.55	8.84	8.61

Source: Black Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

14. Marginal Value Adds

Table 17: Beyond stimulus achievement, the vendors' cost savings are realized as generally estimated and not over-positioned or over/underestimated in ways that effect major client satisfaction or costs. Vendor offers value-adds as a coding partner in cost savings and avoidance initiatives and creative programs through bundled product design. Provides true business transformation opportunities to physician practices, hospitals and other healthcare delivery settings utilizing managed coding solutions.

OVERALL RANK	Q14 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
1	1	IKS HEALTH	9.62	9.40	9.44	9.73	9.55
2	2	AGS HEALTH	8.97	9.24	9.24	9.30	9.19
4	3	AVIACODE GEBBS	9.62	9.70	8.65	8.37	9.09
8	4	HIMAGINE	8.94	9.43	8.72	8.85	8.99
9	5	PLUTUS HEALTH	8.82	8.87	8.08	8.97	8.69
3	6	CORROHEALTH	8.83	8.02	7.83	9.72	8.60
5	7	DATAVANT	9.41	9.07	7.81	7.67	8.49
8	8	R1RCM	8.12	8.84	8.31	8.25	8.38
18	9	HAP	7.75	8.81	8.01	8.39	8.24
14	10	NTHRIVE	7.99	8.63	7.93	7.12	7.92

Source: Black Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

15. Financial Viability and Managerial Stability

Table 18: Vendor’s viability, employee turnover, financial stability and/or cultural mismatches do not threaten relationship. Senior management and the board exemplify strong leadership principles to steward appropriate resources that impact buyers. Client is confident of long-term industry viability for this vendor based on investments, client adoption, exceptional outcomes, and service levels. Field management is notably competent, stable, and supportive of clients. The vendor demonstrates and provides evidence of competent fiscal management and leadership.

OVERALL RANK	Q15 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
3	1	CORROHEALTH	9.40	9.71	9.24	9.12	9.37
1	2	IKS HEALTH	9.41	9.60	8.96	9.39	9.34
2	3	AGS HEALTH	9.06	8.87	9.72	8.18	8.96
5	4	OPTUM	9.08	8.97	7.96	8.00	8.50
8	5	HIMAGINE	8.39	8.64	7.83	8.34	8.30
11	6	INFINX	8.94	8.03	7.68	8.51	8.29
12	7	ELEVATE	8.62	8.49	7.70	8.34	8.29
5	8	DATAVANT	8.44	7.67	8.64	8.35	8.28
10	9	AMN HEALTHCARE	8.48	8.16	7.78	8.26	8.17
17	10	MRA	7.92	9.08	7.39	8.19	8.15

Source: Black Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

16. Data Security and Backup Services

Table 19: In order to provide secure and constantly dependable service offerings for affiliated business units and provider entities, a vendor has to provide the highest level of security and data back-up services. The vendor’s service in these two areas is superior to the security and back-up system of past internal systems of the provider organization, Cybersecurity practices and protections meet or exceed industry standards and regulations.

OVERALL RANK	Q16 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
2	1	AGS HEALTH	9.11	9.49	9.79	9.33	9.43
1	2	IKS HEALTH	9.42	9.66	8.99	8.82	9.35
3	3	CORROHEALTH	8.88	9.18	8.13	9.14	8.83
4	4	AVIACODE GEBBS	9.26	8.63	8.00	9.33	8.81
7	5	R1RCM	8.80	9.32	7.86	9.07	8.76
12	6	ELEVATE	9.01	8.96	7.69	8.37	8.51
5	7	OPTUM	8.73	8.79	9.05	7.43	8.50
6	8	DATAVANT	8.54	8.71	8.02	7.86	8.28
16	9	LEXICODE	8.96	8.36	8.16	7.51	8.25
9	10	PLUTUS HEALTH	8.60	8.47	7.50	7.69	8.07

Source: Black Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

17. Support and Customer Care

Table 20: Account management provides an adequate amount of onsite administration and support to clients. There exists a formal account management program that meets client needs. Media and clients reference this vendor as coding solutions and services leader and top vendor correctly. Customer services and relationship satisfaction is manifested through significant flagship clients as well as smaller and newest customers similarly. Vendor provides an appropriate number of accessible coding support and customer care personnel.

OVERALL RANK	Q17 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
1	1	IKS HEALTH	9.94	9.51	9.65	9.44	9.64
2	2	AGS HEALTH	9.11	9.80	9.64	9.60	9.54
12	3	ELEVATE	9.66	9.47	9.10	8.92	9.29
5	4	OPTUM	9.15	9.28	9.03	9.03	9.12
3	5	CORROHEALTH	8.84	8.76	8.63	9.79	9.01
9	6	PLUTUS HEALTH	8.89	9.37	8.74	8.73	8.93
8	7	HIMAGINE	8.72	9.15	8.49	8.77	8.79
10	8	AMN HEALTHCARE	8.82	9.17	8.31	8.67	8.74
13	9	NTHRIVE	9.07	9.10	8.16	8.16	8.62
5	10	DATAVANT	9.30	8.75	7.92	7.63	8.40

Source: Black Book Market Research



Individual Key Performance: MANAGED MEDICAL CODING SOLUTIONS

18. Best of Breed Technology and Process Improvement

Table 21: Vendor management and related coding technology services are considered best of breed. The vendor technology elevates customers via capabilities, equipment, processes, deliverables, professional staff, leadership, quality assurance and innovative initiatives. Vendor services are delivered at or above current/former in-house coding service levels. Technology is current and relevant to exchanging health information among providers, as well as sufficiently offering patient access and interoperability.

OVERALL RANK	Q18 CRITERIA RANK	CODING MANAGED SERVICES VENDOR	SMALL HOSPITALS	COMMUNITY HOSPITALS	HEALTH SYSTEMS	PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS	MEAN
1	1	IKS HEALTH	9.89	9.98	9.40	9.99	9.81
5	2	OPTUM	9.47	9.54	9.98	9.90	9.72
4	3	AVIACODE GEBBS	9.09	8.81	8.92	9.40	9.19
3	4	CORROHEALTH	8.73	9.32	7.95	9.71	8.88
11	5	INFINX	9.02	9.08	8.31	9.12	8.82
7	6	R1RCM	8.95	9.53	7.80	8.23	8.78
8	7	HIMAGINE	8.70	8.78	8.40	8.61	8.78
2	8	AGS HEALTH	8.66	8.97	8.37	8.90	8.63
6	9	DATAVANT	9.59	9.05	7.72	7.16	8.48
9	10	PLUTUS HEALTH	7.73	8.62	8.88	7.51	8.38

Source: Black Book Market Research



APPENDIX

Disclaimer

All Rights Reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise), without the prior permission of the publisher, Black Book Market Research LLC. The facts of this report are believed to be correct at the time of publication but cannot be guaranteed. Please note that the findings, conclusions, and recommendations that Black Book Research delivers will be based on information gathered in good faith from both primary and secondary sources, whose accuracy we are not always able to guarantee. As such, Black Book Research can accept no liability whatever for actions taken based on any Information that may subsequently prove to be incorrect.

About Black Book™

Black Book Rankings, a division of Black Book Market Research LLC, provides healthcare IT users, media, investors, analysts, quality minded vendors, and prospective software system buyers, pharmaceutical and equipment manufacturers, group purchasing organizations, and other interested sectors of the clinical and financial technology industry with comprehensive comparison data of the industry's top respected and competitively performing technology vendors. The largest user opinion poll of its kind in healthcare IT, Black Book™ collects over a half million viewpoints on information technology and managed vendor performance annually. Black Book was founded in 2003, is internationally recognized for over 15 years of customer satisfaction polling, particularly in technology, analytics, services, outsourcing and offshoring industries.

Black Book™, its owners nor its employees holds any financial interest in the companies contained in this comparison performance report and is not incentivized to recommend any vendor.



Follow Black Book on Twitter at www.twitter.com/blackbookpolls

For methodology, auditing, resources, comprehensive research, and ranking data, see <http://blackbookmarketresearch.com>